JIANQ CHYUN IPO

12/09/04 THU 16:53 FAX 886 2 23697233

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10707,666 Docket No.: 12057-US-PA

REMARKS

Present Status of the Application

The Office Action rejected claims 1-9 and allowed claims 10-15. Specifically, the

Office Action rejected claims 1-6 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over

Deffendall et al. (US. 4,337,456) in view of Eng, Jr. et al (US 5,321,403). The Office Action

also rejected claims 7-9 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Applicants have amended

claims 1, 7 and 9 to improve clarity. Further, applicants have cancelled claims 2-6 and 8.

Amendments made in claim 1 can be found either in FIG. 2 or paragraphs [0041]-[0048] in the

specification, and therefore no new matter is added. After entry of the foregoing amendments,

claims 1, 7, and 9-15 remain pending in the present application. Applicant thanks for the

allowance of claims 10-15 and respectfully requests reconsideration of claims 1, 7 and 9.

Discussion of Office Action Rejections

The Office Action rejected claims 7 and 8 (and 9) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second

paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the

subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 8 of 11

12/09/04 THU 16:53 FAX 886 2 23697233

JIANQ CHYUN IPO

2010

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10707,666

Docket No.: 12057-US-PA

To overcome the 35 U.S.C. 112's rejection, Applicant has amended claim 7 and cancelled claim 8 to render the rejection moot. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully

requested.

The Office Action rejected claims 1 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable

over Deffendall in view of Eng, Jr. Applicants respectfully traverse the rejections for at least

the reasons set forth below.

Those with ordinary skill cannot achieve the technique feature of "... the hysteresis

logic updates the output value comparing a newly converted value during the current

measurement cycle and a previous value converted in a previous measurement cycle" as

claimed in claim 1 according to Deffendall in view of Eng, Jr. More specifically, although the

Office Action asserted that the offset cancellation logic, hysteresis logic, control logic, and

data counter are embodied in microprocessor, the Applicants cannot find related descriptions

therein. Further, Eng, Jr. did not disclose the above mentioned feature, either. Since neither

Defendall nor Eng, Jr. taught the present invention, those with ordinary skill cannot obtain the

above mentioned technique from Deffendall in view of Eng. Jr.

For at least the above reasons, claim 1 is patentable over Deffendall in view of Eng. Jr.

For at least the same reasons, claims 7 and 9 are patentable over Deffendall in view of

Eng, Jr. as a matter of law.

Page 9 of 11

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10707,666 Docket No.: 12057-US-PA

For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant respectfully submits that independent claim 1 is patently define over the prior art references, and should be allowed. For at least the same reasons, dependent claims 7 and 9 patently define over the prior art as well.

Page 10 of 11

Customer No.: 31561 Application No.: 10707,666 Docket No.: 12057-US-PA

CONCLUSION

Applicant thanks for the allowance of claims 10-15. Further, for at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that the other pending claims 1, 7 and 9 are in proper condition for If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date:

ce. 9, don4

Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Respectfully submitted,

Jiang Chyun Intellectual Property Office 7th Floor-1, No. 100 Roosevelt Road, Section 2 Taipei, 100 Taiwan

Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800 Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233

Email: belinda@jcipgroup.com.tw Usa@jcipgroup.com.tw

Page 11 of 11